

PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: A7254

Henry M. GLADNEY

Appln. No.: 09/465,514

Group Art Unit: 2135

Confirmation No.: 8969

Examiner: Leynna A. HA

Filed: December 16, 1999

For: DISTRIBUTED DATA STRUCTURES FOR AUTHORIZATION AND ACCESS
CONTROL FOR COMPUTING RESOURCES

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
July 31, 2007:

REMARKS

During the interview, the following was discussed: Prior art rejection of the claims

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None.
2. Identification of claims discussed: Claim 27.
3. Identification of art discussed: He *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,088,451).
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: Sent to Examiner on August 3rd,
2007, and incorporated in the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 being filed concurrently.
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: Whether He anticipates claim 27.

Specifically, whether He discloses that the collection of objects are in a first administrative domain administered by the first organization (Applicants argued that this was an inherent feature of claim 27), and a resource manager request is sent to an external storage management system in a second administrative domain administered by a second organization that is different from the first organization.

system in a second administrative domain administered by a second organization that is different from the first organization.


6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None.

7. Results of Interview: Applicants gained an understanding of Examiner's interpretation of the claims. In view of the interview, Applicants sent a proposed amendment to claim 27 and arguments related thereto on August 3, 2007, as noted above. Subsequently, Applicant's representative received a phone call from the Examiner on August 17, 2007, indicating that the proposed amendment is allowable over the prior art of record. Accordingly, the Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 being filed concurrently incorporates the amendments and arguments sent to the Examiner on August 3rd.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Quadeer A. Ahmed
Registration No. 60,835

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/142133

46159

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: August 29, 2007